

**Problem 1:** Write codes for LU-decomposition (Gaussian elimination) which can handle row exchanges. We will apply it to solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix with each entry a random number in  $[-1, 1]$ , with  $n = 100$ . Take the exact solution  $\mathbf{x}$  as a random vector and calculate the corresponding  $\mathbf{b}$ . Then apply your codes to solve  $\mathbf{x}$  from  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

Consider the following pivoting strategies: (1) no pivoting; (2) partial pivoting; (3) scaled partial pivoting. For each strategy, run the above numerical test 5 times and print the error  $\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{numerical}} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}\|$  (with any matrix norm).

Repeat the above with a modified matrix  $A$ : each row of the original  $A$  is multiplied by a random number between 1 and 1000.

**Problem 2:** Write codes for Jacobi iteration, Gauss-Seidel iteration and conjugate gradient method. Make sure your codes can take advantage of sparse matrices. Consider an  $n \times n$  tri-diagonal matrix  $A$  with  $a_{ii} = a_0$ ,  $a_{i,i+1} = a_+$ ,  $a_{i,i-1} = a_-$  with  $n = 1000$ . Generate  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  as in Problem 1.

Test Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel with the following examples:  $(a_0, a_+, a_-) = (2, 1, -1)$ ,  $(a_0, a_+, a_-) = (1, 0.3, 0.5)$ . Test all three methods with the following examples:  $(a_0, a_+, a_-) = (2, -1, -1)$ . You should print the number of iterations when the desired tolerance is achieved. You should also print the error  $\|\mathbf{x}_{\text{numerical}} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}\|$  by using the known exact solution, and observe how it is related with the tolerance you used.

For the last example, also apply the SOR method with a few choices of the parameter  $\omega$ , and observe which choice gives the fewest number of iterations.

**Problem 3:** Write codes for preconditioned conjugate gradient method. Take  $A$  as the following  $n \times n$  tri-diagonal matrix with  $n = 1000$ : generate the numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}$  as random numbers between 1 and 100;  $a_{i,i+1} = a_{i+1,i} = -c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ ;  $a_{ii} = c_i + c_{i-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , with  $c_0$  and  $c_n$  regarded as 0. Generate  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  as in Problem 1. Compare the number of iterations for the original conjugate gradient method and the preconditioned conjugate gradient method with the Jacobi preconditioner.

**Problem 4:** Consider the following  $m^2 \times m^2$  matrix  $A$ : the diagonal entries are all 4; For the other  $(i, j)$  entries, write  $i = (i_1 - 1)m + i_2$  with  $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , and the  $(i, j)$  entry is  $-1$  whenever  $|i_1 - j_1| + |i_2 - j_2| = 1$ , otherwise the  $(i, j)$  entry is 0. (This matrix arises when solving 2D Poisson equation. It is irreducibly diagonally-dominant, symmetric positive definite, sparse, but does not have a tri-diagonal structure.)

For  $m = 10, 20, 40, 80$ , apply Gaussian elimination and conjugate gradient method to solve  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , where  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  are generated as in Problem 1 (with reasonable tolerance for conjugate gradient method). Compare their run times.

**Problem 5:** Write codes for the power method for matrix eigenvalues. Run it for a random complex  $n \times n$  matrix with  $n = 10$  and obtain its largest eigenvalue (in absolute value) and its eigenvector. Check your result by checking the equation  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ . Do this test for 10 different matrices.

Do the same for random real matrices. Do you observe any different phenomenon? Try to explain.